Introduction of Mineral TS in a normal fertilization protocol and the influence on the fertility and levels of some bio-elements in cattle
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Introduction. The essential bioelements are defined as the elements whose dietary deficiency can affect adversely and consistently the biological functions from optimal and change of this could be prevented or reestablished by physiological amounts of these elements (Nielsen FH, 2003).

Abstract. The paper presents data regarding the status of some important bioelements for the bovine fertility when a mineral supplement was added to a normal fertility protocol in cattle and the influence of this upon the fertility percentage. The study was made on sixty Romanian Spotted cattle 18-19 months old divided randomly in four groups as follows: C – Control, and three experimental groups E1 – E3, that received a normal fertility protocol with Ovarelin (GnRh) in day 1 and day 10, Enzaprost (PGF2α) in day 7. E1 received in plus Sel-E-Vit (Selenium+Vit.E), E2 received Mineral TS and E3 Sel-E-Vit + Mineral TS. The cattles were blind artificial inseminated in day 11 and gestation diagnosis was performed by ultrasound method in day 55 and the percentage of fertility was analyzed. Blood samples were collected in day 0 and day 12 for trace elements analysys by AAS flame and furnace method. Were measured the serum levels of iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), and selenium (Se). In all experimental groups in day 0 were not significant (P ≥ 0.05) differences regarding the studied trace elements. In day 12 we observed a significant increase of Se and Fe in E2 and E3 groups and of Cu in E2 group comparative to control. On the other hand was recorded a significant decrease of Zn and not significant decrease of Mn in all experimental groups comparative to control. The conception rate and percentage of fertility was higher in E1 followed by E2 and E3 groups comparative to control. We can conclude that the introduction of a mineral supplement, in our case Mineral TS, could increase the the conception rate and fertility percentage in cattle.
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Supplementation with extra vitamins and minerals to the diet has often been proposed as a “golden bullet” solution to reduce declines in cow fertility by various commercial interests, while requirements for optimal reproductive proficiency in modern dairy cattle deserve careful re-evaluation based on well-designed scientific research (Hurley WL and Doane RM, 1989; Crowe MA et al., 2018).

Previously has been reported many reproductive effects associated with clinical copper deficiency and high dietary molybdenum intakes such as: infertility, decreased conception rate, anestrus and delayed onset of puberty in cattle (Mackenzie AM et al., 2001). Trace elements like copper, zinc and selenium seems to be deficient in ruminants and could impair the production and reproduction parameters of these species (McDowell LR and Arthington JD, 2005; León-Cruz M et al., 2020).

The aim of the presented study was to emphasize the influence of Mineral TS supplement on status of some bioelements in cattle that received it and to asses if this supplementation will influence the fertility percentage in condition of a normal fertility protocol.

Materials and methods.

The study was carried out on a number of sixty Romanian Spotted cattle, kept in free housing throughout the year. The age of the cattle was 18.37 ± 0.27 months, with amplitudes ranging from a minimum of 18 months to a maximum of 19 months. The number can be considered strongly homogeneous, the coefficient of variability being 1.48%. At the time of inclusion in the study, the body condition of the cattle was assessed in terms of body weight. The average value was set at the threshold of 396.18 ± 9.06 kg with a minimum limit of 382 kg and a maximum of 413 kg, the variability reached the threshold of 2.28%, data being extremely comparable as a value that characterizes the herd.

The cattle were housed in a common paddock, ensuring an area of 8 square meters/head and free access to feed and water sources. The feed allowed the accumulation of an average daily increase between 0.5-0.62 kg, being implemented a dynamic feeding strategy that allowed maintaining an optimal body condition in relation to the age of the animals. The feed provided to the cattle consists in 3 kg of concentrates, 7 kg of alfalfa hay and 17 kg of corn silage. The feeding was twice a day, in an individual condition in relation to the age of the animals. The feed provided to the cattle in 3 kg of concentrates, 7 kg of alfalfa hay and 17 kg of corn silage.

The study was carried out within the zootechnical biobase of SCDCB Arad, Romania. The inclusion of the animals in the study as well as the experimental procedures were approved in front of 75 cm/head. The study was carried out within the zootechnical biobase of SCDCB Arad, Romania. The inclusion of the animals in the study as well as the experimental procedures were approved in the Scientific Council of SCDCB Arad, Romania, the Decision no. 62 /15.11.2020. In addition, all procedures in this study fully comply with the EU Directive on Animal Experiments (Directive 2010/63/EU). The cattles were randomly distributed in four experimental groups (n=15) as follows: C – Control and three experimental groups E1-E3 respecting the experimental protocol from table 1.

Table 1. Time table for the experimental protocol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>E1</th>
<th>E2</th>
<th>E3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Day 0</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarelin 2ml i.m.</td>
<td>Ovarelin 2ml i.m.</td>
<td>Ovarelin 2ml i.m.</td>
<td>Ovarelin 2ml i.m.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ physiologic saline 10 ml i.m.</td>
<td>+ Sel-E-Vit 10 ml i.m.</td>
<td>+ orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
<td>+ Sel-E-Vit 10 ml i.m. and orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 1</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Ovarelin 2ml i.m.</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ovarelin 2ml i.m.</td>
<td>+ orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 2</td>
<td>physiologic saline 5 ml i.m.</td>
<td>Sel-E-Vit 5 ml i.m.</td>
<td>orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
<td>Sel-E-Vit 10 ml i.m. and orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>physiologic saline 5 ml i.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 3</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Sel-E-Vit 5 ml i.m.</td>
<td>orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
<td>Sel-E-Vit 10 ml i.m. and orally Mineral TS 15 ml/100kg diluted in 600 ml H2O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>physiologic saline 5 ml i.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 4</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blood sampling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 5</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Day 6</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ultrasound gestation diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The products used in the protocol were: **Ovarelin** 50µg/ml (GnRH), Ceva Sante Animile, France; **Enzaprost T** 5mg/ml (PGF2α), Ceva Sante Animile, France; **Sel-E-Vit** (containing: sodium selenite 0,5 mg/ml and Vitamin E 50 mg/ml), Pasteur Bucharest, Romania; **Mineral TS** (containing: iron 2.9 g, manganese 2.4 g, copper 1.1 g and zinc 2.6 g), Laboratoires Biove, France.

The blood was collected into clot activator BD Vacutainer and centrifuged for 10 min at 3000×g to obtain the serum for the bio-elements analysis.

The levels of the main bioelements such as manganese (Mn), selenium (Se), zinc (Zn), copper (Cu), and iron (Fe) in serum were assessed by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) using a ContrAA800 spectrophotometer (Analytic Jena, Germany) using a furnace with pyrolitic tube for Mn, Se and Cu, and flame analysis for Zn and Fe.

Samples preparation for AAS analyses were performed by microwave digestion (Multiwave GO, Anton Paar, GmbH, Austria), adding 10 mL of concentrated nitric acid and 2 mL of hydrogen peroxide over 1 g of sample with the parameter set as 120 °C, 800 W for 20 min. All used reagents were a high-purity grade (Suprapur Merk, Germany) and the calibration standards were prepared from a Merck CertiPur ICP 1000 mg/L stock standard solution.

For the gestation diagnosis was used the portable BCS Easi-Scan:Go (IMV Imaging, UK) ultrasound scanner.

The obtained results were expressed as mean ± SEM by one-way ANOVA with the Bonferroni correction considering the differences are statistically provided when P ≤0.05 or lower. The software used was GraphPad Prism 6.0 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA).

### Results and discussions.

Some of the bioelements such as Cu, Zn, Mn, Fe and Se act as co-factors for multiple anti-oxidant enzymes (Méplan C, 2011) and are involved in many metabolic processes in living organisms, being essential for cell metabolism and many other body functions, including energy production, growth, reproduction and the nervous system.

As is presented in Figure 1, in our study we observed that in the day 0 the levels of Se were not significant (P≥0.05) in all groups. In the day 12 this levels were increased, in group E1 comparative to control (+26.59%), but the differences were statistically not significant (P≥0.05), and highly significant in E2 and E3 groups comparative to control (E2 +47.57%, P≥0.001; E3 +40.73%, P≥0.01). Se levels were increased in day 12 comparative to day 0, significantly only for E2 and E3 (E1 +15.96%; E2 +36.41%, P≥0.05; E3 +36.02%). There were not significant differences between experimental groups regarding the selenium levels, even if was observed a slight increase in E2 and E3 groups in the day 12 (E2/E1: +16.56%, E3/E1: +11.16%, E3/E2: -4.62%).

The Cu levels in day 0 was not significantly (P≥0.05) different in experimental groups comparative to control, the same situation being observed in the day 12, exception being in group E2, where was observed a slight, but significant (P≤0.05) increase comparative to control (+8.27%). There were recorded also differences regarding the Cu levels in groups that received supplement whit Mineral TS comparative to the group that received only Se and vit.E (E2/E1: +9.45%, P≤0.01), the level being decreased in group that received both supplements (E3/E2: -8.56%).

In the day 0, the Zn level presented slight and not significant (P≥0.05) fluctuations in experimental groups comparative to control group. The situation was different in the day 12, all experimental groups recorded highly significant (P≤0.001) decrease of Zn comparative to control (E1/C: -26.72%, E2/C: -19.13%, E3/C: -26.71%) and comparative to day 0 (E1: -31.15%, E2: -21.29%, E3: -25.15%).

The Mn levels presented not significantly (P≥0.05) levels in experimental groups comparative to control. Even if in the day 12 were recorded decreases of Mn in experimental groups comparative to control (E1/C: -13.25%, E2/C: -27.88%, E3/C: -34.62%) , and especially, comparative to day 0 (E1: -18.73%, E2: -35.74%, E3: -29.03%), these decreases were statistically not significant (P≥0.05).
Figure 1 – Levels of selected bio-elements in cattle with normal fertility protocol and Mineral TS

Comparative to C group: * – P≤0.05, ** – P≤0.01, *** – P≤0.001
Comparative between experimental groups: ns – not significant
Comparative between Day 0 and Day 12: # – P≤0.05, ## – P≤0.01
Comparative to E1 group: $$ – P≤0.01, $$$ – P≤0.001
Comparative to E2 group: && – P≤0.01

Regarding the Fe levels, in the day 0 were recorded not significant (P≥0.05) between control and experimental groups. The situation was changed in the day 12 in groups that received mineral supplementation comparative to control. There was recorded a significant increase of Fe level in groups that received Mineral TS comparative to control (E2/C: +24.63%, P≤0.001; E3/C: +18.49%, P≤0.05) and comparative to group that received Se+Vit E (E2/E1: +25.81%, P≤0.001; E3/E1: +19.61%, P≤0.01). For
this groups the differences in the day 12 was significantly (P≤0.001) higher than in day 0 (E2: +44.19%, E3: +37.47%).

In the Figure 2 is presented the fertility percentage in cattle supplemented with Mineral TS comparative to normal protocol. We recorded an increase of conception rate in all groups that received supplements comparative to control that received the normal fertility protocol (E1/C: +28.78%, E2/C: +22.72%, E3/C: +13.64%).

Figure 2 – The fertility percentage in cattle supplemented with Mineral TS or/and Se+Vit.E vs control

Supplementation with well known Se and Vit.E was the most potent supplement to increase fertility percentage, but supplementation with Mineral TS proves to be a encouraging possibility offering almost the same results as classic supplementation with Se and Vit.E, being only with a few percentages under (E2/E1: -4.70%). Combining the both supplements proves to be less efficient regarding the fertility percentage that if there will be administered separately (E3/E1: -11.76%, E3/E2: -7.40%).

The potential for minerals to play a significant role in herd fertility is indisputable, the mineral elements that are of particular importance are categorized into major and trace elements such as: iron, iodine, copper, manganese, zinc, cobalt, molybdenum and selenium (Boland MP, 2003).

Copper and selenium concentrations are relatively low in local forage, and are typically supplemented by farmers, therefore, animals living outside on local forage and not supplemented with these trace elements are estimated to be relatively low in both copper and selenium (Van den Top AM, 2005).

Interactions between Cu and Zn within the intestinal tract are important for the amount absorbed of these elements, because increased concentrations of Zn can induce the synthesis of thionein, this protein binds Zn or Cu, thereby forming metallothionein (Cousins RJ, 1985), but it is still not clear as to what extent Zn-induced metallothionein formation can influence Cu absorption from the intestine in ruminants (Van den Top AM, 2005).

Grace ND et al., 2001 and 2012, noted an increase blood concentration of Se and Cu in cows that received supplements prior to mating which is in accordance with our findings in cattle.

Goselink RMA and Jongbloed AW, 2012 presented different studies regarding the influence of minerals supplementation on cow’s fertility, some of them presenting positive results which are in accordance with our findings and other disagreed the idea that mineral administration has a positive effect.

Moeini MM et al., 2003, obtained the increase of conception rate and increase of blood Cu levels in cows treated with Cosecure®, a copper containing supplement, the results being similar with our findings.
Conclusion.

Administration of supplements with minerals was followed by changes in the serum levels of cattle, especially the increase of Se, Cu and Fe, decrease of Zn and Mn and was followed by the increased conception rate.

We can conclude that introduction of minerals, e.g. Mineral TS, in the fertilization protocol in cattle could have good effects with lower costs and less work.

Further studies with a longer period and another way of administration are necessary to be performed for a better optimization of the fertility protocol in cattle.
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